

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,210	01/16/200	2 Thomas William Wielkopolski	741004.1005	7961
21831	7590 06	/26/2003		
	RG & RASKIN,	EXAM	EXAMINER	
	UE OF THE AM ζ , NY 10036-580	ERICAS, 15th FLOOR 03	DEPUMPO,	DANIEL G
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/051,210 Applicant(s)

Daniel G. DePumpo

Art Unit 3611

Wielkopolski

nlad	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of the Reply	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHO THE N		TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ng date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will appl e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause teply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. se the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 16, 20	002
2a) □	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	ition of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideratio
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗆		
7) 🗆		is/are objected to.
		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement
	ation Papers	
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re all accepted or bll objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the di	
11)□		is: a approved b disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.
Priority	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	· · ·
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•
a) [
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm		
1)	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
_	lotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 📙 Inf	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/051,210 Page 2

Art Unit:

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the

claimed invention:

I fig. 1

II fig. 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/051,210

Art Unit:

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel G. DePumpo whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

DÁNIEL G. DePUMPO PRIMARY EXAMINER

dgd

June 23, 2003